

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 MARIO ALEJANDRO LOPEZ,

4 Petitioner,

5 v.

6 BRIAN E. WILLIAMS, et al.,

7 Respondents.

Case No. 2:19-cv-01308-APG-NJK

ORDER

(ECF No. 55)

8 Good cause appearing, I HEREBY ORDER that Petitioner Mario Alejandro Lopez's
9 Motion for Enlargement of Time (**ECF No. 50**) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner has until March 21,
10 2022 to file his reply. Petitioner acknowledges that his request for an extension is one day late.
11 ECF No. 50 at 3. He must therefore establish both good cause and excusable neglect for an
12 extension. His motion states that Petitioner has been sick, counsel overlooked the deadline, and
13 experienced unexpected technical difficulties. *Id.* I am satisfied that Petitioner's motion was
14 filed in good faith. I find that the delay in this case, thus far, is minimal and will not have a
15 significant impact on the proceedings. On the other hand, the court and the parties will benefit
16 from receiving Petitioner's reply. Because the delay is minimal, there is no danger of prejudice
17 to the respondents. Under these circumstances, I find that Petitioner's neglect is excusable.

18 Dated: February 3, 2022

19 
20 ANDREW P. GORDON
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22
23
24
25
26
27
28